#### For Immediate Release



Nippon Residential Investment Corporation 2-11-1 Nagata-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo Masaru Nishimura Chief Executive Officer (Securities Code: 8962)

Investment Trust Management Company:
Pacific Investment Advisors Corporation
2-11-1 Nagata-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo
Akira Yamanouchi
President and Chairman of the Board

Inquiries: Takeshi Takano Director

Phone: +81-(0)3-5251-8528

Notification on Acquisition of Cosmos Gracia Shin-Okachimachi

Nippon Residential Investment Corporation (NRIC) announces the decision made today to acquire the following asset as detailed below.

#### 1. Overview of Acquisition

| (1) Property Name     | C-46 Cosmos Gracia Shin-Okachimachi               |
|-----------------------|---|
| (1) Troperty Name     |   |
|                       | (hereafter, the "Property")                       |
| (2) Asset Type        | Real estate                                       |
| (3) Acquisition Value | 2,162,000,000 yen (excluding acquisition costs,   |
|                       | real property tax, city planning tax, consumption |
|                       | tax and local consumption tax)                    |
|                       | [Payment Schedule]                                |
|                       | Pay all sales proceeds upon transfer              |
| (4) Sale and Purchase | A   |
| Agreement Date        | August 10, 2007                                   |
| (5) Acquisition Date  | August 10, 2007                                   |
| (6) Seller            | COSMOS INITIA Co., Ltd.                           |
|                       | (hereafter, the "Seller")                         |
| (7) Financing         | Cash on hand or debt financing                    |

#### 2. Purpose of Acquisition

The Property will be acquired with the following reason based on NRIC's Articles of

## Incorporation and investment policy.

| (1) | Reason          | In addition to heightening the portfolio share in the Tokyo 23 wards (excluding the Tokyo central 5 wards (Note)), this will reduce the average age of our portfolio and enhance the portfolio. |
|-----|-----------------|---|
| (2) | Property        | The Property is situated within a 3-minute walk from Inaricho   |
|     | Characteristics | Station on the Tokyo Metro Ginza Line and is within walking   |
|     |                 | distance of JR Ueno Station and Shin-Okachimachi Station on   |
|     |                 | the Toei Subway Oedo Line and Tsukuba Express Line.   |
|     |                 | Thus, the Property stands in a location that boasts   |
|     |                 | outstanding access to various directions.   |
|     |                 |   |
|     |                 | The Property is a property that was built in March 2007 and is expected to meet the demands of singles and small households   |
|     |                 | placing emphasis on such factors as convenience in terms of   |
|     |                 | access to the downtown.   |

(Note) The Tokyo central 5 wards refer to the Minato, Shibuya, Shinjuku, Meguro and Chiyoda Wards.

## 3. Description of Asset to be Acquired

| Property Na       | ame                              | Cosmos Gracia Shin-Okachimachi                |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Location (Note 1) |                                  | 1-139-1 and 1-139-4 Motoasakusa, Taito-ku,    |
|                   |                                  | Tokyo (lot number)                            |
|                   |                                  | 1-21-5 Motoasakusa, Taito-ku, Tokyo           |
|                   |                                  | (residential)                                 |
| Access            |                                  | 3-minute walk from Inaricho Station on the    |
|                   |                                  | Tokyo Metro Ginza Line                        |
|                   |                                  | 5-minute walk from Shin-Okachimachi           |
|                   |                                  | Station on the Toei Subway Oedo Line and      |
|                   |                                  | Tsukuba Express Line                          |
|                   | T                                | 10-minute walk from JR Ueno Station           |
| Land              | Ownership                        | Proprietary ownership                         |
|                   | Zoning (Note 2)                  | Commercial zone                               |
|                   | Lot Size (Note 1)                | $584.23 \text{m}^2$                           |
|                   | FAR/Building Coverage            | 500%/80%                                      |
|                   | Ratio (Note 3)                   |   |
| Building          | Ownership                        | Proprietary ownership                         |
|                   | Type (Note 1)                    | Apartment                                     |
|                   | Floor Area (Note 1)              | 2,862.70m <sup>2</sup>                        |
|                   | Construction Completion (Note 1) | March 2007                                    |
|                   | Structure (Note 1)               | Reinforced concrete structure with flat roof; |
|                   |                                  | 15 floors above ground                        |
| Acquisition       | Value                            | 2,162,000,000 yen (excluding acquisition      |
|                   |                                  | costs, real property tax, city planning tax,  |
| ,                 |                                  | consumption tax and local consumption tax)    |
|                   | ations (collateral, etc.)        | None  |
| Appraisal         | Appraisal Method                 | Appraisal by Japan Real Estate Institute      |
| Method            | Appraised Value                  | 2,170,000,000 yen                             |
|                   | Appraised Date                   | July 1, 2007                                  |
| Overview          | No. of Tenants (Note 5)          | 60  |

| of Lease | Leasable Units                    | 69 units [1K: 14 units; 1DK: 41 units; 1LDK: |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--|
| (Note 4) |                                   | 12 units; 1LDK + loft: 2 units]              |
|          | Leased Units                      | 68 units                                     |
|          | Total Leasable Area               | 2,531.81m <sup>2</sup>                       |
|          | Total Leased Area                 | 2,487.42m <sup>2</sup>                       |
|          | Monthly Rent (Note 6)             | 9,074 thousand yen                           |
|          | Deposit, etc. (Note 7)            | 17,254 thousand yen                          |
|          | Occupancy (based on no. of units) | 98.6% (Note 8)                               |
|          | Occupancy (based on area)         | 98.2% (Note 8)                               |

- (Note 1) Information that appears on the registry was used for the location (excluding residential indication), lot size, type, floor area, construction completion date and structure.
- (Note 2) The entry for the zoning is from the zoning type indicated in Article 8-1-1 of the City Planning Law.
- (Note 3) The FAR uses the ratio of the floor area of the building versus the lot area as designated in Article 52 of the Building Standards Law and the building coverage ratio uses the building area versus the lot area as designated in Article 53 of the Building Standards Law.
- (Note 4) The overview of the lease lists figures as of July 31, 2007.
- (Note 5) The total number of tenants is entered as one tenant when a single tenant leases multiple rental units. As for sub-leased properties where a single sublessor subleases the property to multiple subtenants, the number of tenants is treated as one tenant.
- (Note 6) The monthly rent indicated is the total sum of the monthly rent (includes common expenses but excludes monthly parking space usage fees) of each of the tenants with whom lease agreements have been concluded as of July 31, 2007. The amount has been rounded down to the nearest thousand yen and excludes consumption tax, etc.
- (Note 7) The deposit, etc. indicates the total sum of the deposits, etc. (excludes the parking space portion) of each of the tenants with whom lease agreements have been concluded as of July 31, 2007. The amount has been rounded down to the nearest thousand yen.
- (Note 8) The occupancy rate is rounded to one decimal place.

| Investigation | Investigator         | Tokyo Bldg-Tech Center Co., Ltd.  |
|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| of Building   | Emergency Repairs    | No (urgent repairs)               |
| Conditions    | Near-term Repairs    | No (repairs needed within a year) |
|               | Repairs and Upkeep   | 6,820,000 yen                     |
|               | Thought to be Needed |                                   |
|               | Over Next 12 Years   |                                   |
|               | Replacement Price    | 650,000,000 yen                   |
|               | Date of Building     | July 20, 2007                     |
|               | Condition Report     |                                   |
| Earthquake    | PML Value            | 7.1%                              |

| Risk     | This PML value was calculated based on the       |
|----------|--|
| Analysis | earthquake risk analysis report issued by        |
|          | InterRisk Research Institute & Consulting, Inc.  |
|          | However, this report is no more than the         |
|          | viewpoint of InterRisk and the content is not    |
|          | guaranteed. PML, or probable maximum loss,       |
|          | refers to the probability of the maximum loss    |
|          | from an earthquake and in this case the level of |
|          | damage that may result from the assumed          |
|          | maximum earthquake size (large earthquake        |
|          | that occurs once every 475 years = large         |
|          | earthquake with 10% or greater probability of    |
|          | occurring within 50 years) assumed for the       |
|          | assumed scheduled use period expressed as a      |
|          | percentage (%) of the replacement cost of the    |
|          | expenses expected to be required to restore the  |
|          | damages.   |

## 4. Seller Profile

| Company Name   | COSMOS INITIA Co., Ltd.  |
|--|--|
| Head Office Address  | 1-3-2 Uchisaiwai-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo  |
| Representative   | Tadashi Machida, President   |
| Paid-in Capital  | 11,889,490,000 yen   |
| Major Shareholders   | 1. Unison Capital Partners II (F), L.P. 21.79%   |
|  | 2. Unison Capital Partners II, L.P. 16.70%   |
|  | 3. Goldman Sachs International 4.78%   |
|  | 4. UC Astro Investors, L.P. 2.26%  |
|  | (as of March 31, 2007)   |
| Line of Business   | <ol> <li>Selling, purchasing, renting, leasing, brokering, appraising, managing and consulting on real estate</li> <li>Developing and forming land</li> <li>Planning, designing, constructing, supervising, contracting and consulting on building construction and civil engineering work</li> <li>Monetary claims business related to real estate</li> </ol> |
| Relationship with NRIC<br>and Investment Trust<br>Management Company | None   |

## 5. Broker Profile

| Company Name           | Pacific Management Corporation                         |
|------------------------|--|
| Head Office Address    | 2-11-1 Nagata-cho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo                   |
| Representative         | Masaru Takatsuka, Representative Director              |
| Commission             | 64,860,000 yen   |
|                        | (excluding consumption tax and local consumption tax)  |
| Relationship with NRIC | Pacific Management Corporation is a shareholder of     |
| and Investment Trust   | NRIC's asset management company and constitutes an     |
| Management Company     | interested party, etc. of the asset management company |
|                        | as defined in the Law concerning Investment Trusts and |
|                        | Investment Corporations. Pacific Management            |
|                        | Corporation has a 78.2% stake in the asset management  |

| company. |
|----------|

#### 6. Matters concerning Design, etc. of Asset to be Acquired

NRIC has verified the Property's architect, building contractor, building designer, structural designer, building verification agency and inspection agency. The details are given in the following tables.

As at August 9, 2007, none of the Property's architects, building contractors or designers are a company announced by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport to have been involved in properties with fabricated structural calculation documents. NRIC asked a specialist third party investigation agency (Meiho Facility Works Ltd.) to conduct a reinvestigation and has received investigation results reporting that no willful falsification, fabrication or other illegal act was found with the structural calculation and other documents and that it deemed the structural calculations to be compliant with legal requirements.

In the due diligence conducted when properties are purchased, NRIC also has earthquake risk analyses conducted without fail from a different perspective to the verification and inspection agencies' investigation of building verification. NRIC makes acquisition decisions only after appropriate earthquake risk analyses have been conducted on the property that is to be purchased, independently taking into account the structural resistance of the building that is to be purchased based on examinations of drawings using structural drawings, structural design overviews and other documents and based on site inspections.

(Reference 1) Businesses Associated with Designing the Asset to be Acquired

| Property Name       | Cosmos Gracia Shin-Okachimachi     |
|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Architect           | COSMOS INITIA Co., Ltd.            |
| Building Contractor | Daiho Corporation Tokyo Branch     |
| Building Designer   | Toys Architecture Design Co., Ltd. |
| Structural Designer | Atlas Sekkei Co., Ltd.             |
| Verification Agency | Housing Loan Progress Association  |
| Inspection Agency   | Housing Loan Progress Association  |

(Reference 2) Profile of Third Party Investigation Agency (Reinvestigation of Structural Calculation Documents)

| Company Name        | Meiho Facility Works Ltd.        |
|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Head Office Address | 5-4 Kojimachi, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo |

| Representative         | Kazutoshi Omi, President and Representative Director   |
|------------------------|--|
| Paid-in Capital        | 531,510 thousand yen   |
| Line of Business       | <ol> <li>Project management (proposing basic plans for construction projects and providing comprehensive management services from design through to the end of construction as the agent for the client)</li> <li>Construction management (assisting in the</li> </ol> |
|                        | selection of the building contractor and managing the state of construction implementation as the agent for the client in construction projects)  3. Facility management (services involving)  |
|                        | studying/analyzing and proposing basic facility<br>plans in line with business issues and demands of<br>the client) and related consulting work regarding<br>facility management   |
|                        | 4. Turnkey building construction, electrical work, plumbing, interior finishing and fire fighting facility construction  |
|                        | 5. Design work for construction projects (architectural design, setting electrical installations, machinery and facility design, information facility design, office layout, etc.)   |
|                        | 6. Consulting work for design projects   |
|                        | 7. Selling and renting office appliances, information and telecommunication equipment, fixtures, interior fittings, etc.   |
|                        | 8. Developing, selling, leasing and renting computer hardware and software   |
|                        | 9. Manufacturing, selling, exporting, importing and constructing telecommunication equipment   |
|                        | 10. Employment agency that dispatches its own  |
|                        | full-time workers  |
| D 1 1: NDTG            | 11. All work incidental to the above   |
| Relationship with NRIC | None   |

## (Reference 3) Profile of Third Party Investigation Agency (Earthquake Risk Analysis)

| Company Name        | InterRisk Research Institute & Consulting, Inc.   |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Head Office Address | 3-9 Kanda-Surugadai, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo  |  |  |  |
| Representative      | Kenichi Enami, President and Representative Director  |  |  |  |
| Paid-in Capital     | 330 million yen   |  |  |  |
| Line of Business    | <ol> <li>Accepting orders for and consulting on investigative studies concerning risks faced by individuals, corporations, organizations, etc. and risk management</li> <li>Accepting orders for and consulting on investigative studies concerning the economy, finance, society, lifestyle and culture, science and engineering, natural science, etc.</li> <li>Gathering and providing information, training and consulting related to the above two items</li> <li>Holding various workshops and seminars and publishing publications, etc. related to the above items</li> </ol> |  |  |  |

|                        | 5. Other work incidental or related to the above items |
|------------------------|--|
| Relationship with NRIC | None   |

7. Outlook of Management Performance After Acquisition of Concerned Asset

The management performance outlook for NRIC for the fiscal period ending in

November 2007 (June 1, 2007 – November 30, 2007) does not change as a result of
acquisition of the Property.

- This document was distributed to the press clubs within the Tokyo Stock Exchange, Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport and construction trade newspaper of the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport.
- Nippon Residential Investment Corporation's website is http://www.nric.co.jp

## $[Attachment \ 1] \quad Summary \ of \ Appraisal \ on \ the \ Property's \ Price$

(Unit: thousand yen)

|                                   | A   | (Onto thousand yen) |  |
|-----------------------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| Appraisal Item                    |   | Description         | Appraisal Reasoning  |
| Appraised value (specified price) |   | 2,170,000           |  |
| Operating profit                  |   |                     |  |
|                                   | (a) Rental income including common expenses | 120,889             | Appraised the rent that can potentially be collected on a stable basis over the medium and long term based on the current rent, the level of rent and common expenses in the case that new renting is assumed to take  |
|                                   | (b) Utilities income                        | 0                   | place, and other factors.  No utilities income is collected from sublessors.   |
|                                   | (c) Parking lot income                      | 6,912               | Appraised the usage fee that can potentially be collected on a stable basis over the medium and long term based on the current usage fee, the level of usage fee in the case new renting is assumed to take place, and other factors.  |
|                                   | (d) Other income                            | 8,488               | Recorded key money at 2 months of rent and   |
|                                   | Key money income                            | 4,802               | renewal fee at 1 month of rent as income   |
|                                   | Renewal fee income                          | 3,601               | based on appraisal of the number of months   |
|                                   | Other income                                | 85                  | that collections will be individually received<br>from tenants as well as the average annual<br>tenant replacement rate and average<br>agreement period. Also recorded usage fees<br>for bike parking space, etc. as other income.   |
|                                   | 1[(a)+(b)+(c)+(d)]                          | 136,289             |  |
|                                   | (e) Total losses from vacancies, etc.       | 6,209               | Appraised the occupancy rate of a level that would be stable over the medium and long term based on the occupancy status and supply/demand trends of similar real estate (alternative, competitive, etc. real estate) in similar areas of the same supply/demand area, and based on the past occupancy status and future trends of the real estate subject to the appraisal. |
|                                   | (f) Bad debts loss                          | 0                   | In light of sublessor conditions, etc., no bad debts loss is recorded.   |
| 20                                | perating profit [①-(e)-(f)]                 | 130,080             |  |
| Ope                               | erating expenses                            |                     |  |
|                                   | (g) Maintenance and management fee          | 4,200               | Appraised in reference to current expenses, and by taking into account the building maintenance fees of similar rental condominiums and the unique qualities of the real estate subject to the appraisal.  |
|                                   | (h) Utilities                               | 1,050               | Appraised in reference to current expenses, and by taking into account the utilities of similar rental condominiums and the unique qualities of the real estate subject to the appraisal.  |

|      | 1   |   |  |
|------|---|---|--|
| 1    | (i) Repair costs  | 1,595                                     | Appraised by taking into account the   |
|      |   |   | restoration fee associated with tenant   |
|      |   |   | replacement, as well as the repair costs, etc.   |
|      |   |   | according to the engineering report.   |
|      | (j) Property management fee   | 3,842                                     | Appraised in reference to the rate, etc. paid  |
|      |   |   | in return for such services based on   |
|      |   |   | agreement terms and conditions, and by   |
|      |   |   | taking into account the rate paid in return  |
|      |   |   | for such services at similar real estate, the  |
|      |   |   | unique qualities, and other factors.   |
|      | (k) Tenant soliciting fee, etc.   | 4,033                                     | Appraised the rental fee as 1 month's worth  |
|      |   |   | of rental income and the renewal fee as half   |
|      |   |   | the renewal fee income.  |
|      | (l) Taxes, etc.   | 6,351                                     | In the case of the land, appraised in  |
|      |   | ,   | consideration of special measures for small  |
|      |   |   | housing lots. In the case of the building,   |
|      |   |   | appraised based on the replacement cost.   |
|      | (m)Liability insurance premium  | 382                                       | Appraised by taking into account such  |
|      | ,,  | 552                                       | factors as the current insurance premiums  |
|      |   |   | and the insurance premiums of similar  |
|      |   |   | buildings.   |
|      | (n) Other expenses  | 174                                       | Recorded the CATV connection, maintenance  |
|      | (II) Other expenses   | 174                                       | and management fees pursuant to the  |
|      |   |   | agreement.   |
|      | 20noveting evenences  | 91 697                                    | agreement.   |
|      | ③Operating expenses   | 21,627                                    |  |
| (A)  | [(g)+(h)+(i)+(j)+(k)+(l)+(m)+(n)]   | 100 459                                   |  |
| (4)I | Net operating profit [2-3]  | 108,453                                   | A  |
|      | (o) Operating profit from deposits  | 379                                       | Appraised based on a 2.0% return on asset  |
|      |   |   | management for the amount arrived at by  |
|      |   |   | assuming deposits as 2 months worth of rent  |
|      |   |   | and after additionally taking into account   |
|      | Î.  |   |  |
|      | () () ()  | 1005                                      | the occupancy rate.  |
|      | (p) Capital expenditures  | 1,995                                     | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age  |
|      | (p) Capital expenditures  | 1,995                                     | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual   |
|      | (p) Capital expenditures  | 1,995                                     | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the   |
|      |   |   | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual   |
| (5)N | (p) Capital expenditures  Net profit [(4)+(o)-(p)]  | 1,995<br>106,837                          | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.   |
|      |   |   | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the   |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.   |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread   |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk   |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | the occupancy rate.  Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread   |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age  |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along  |
|      | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as   |
| 60   | Net profit [ <b>((+</b> ( <b>o</b> ) <b>-</b> ( <b>p</b> )]   | 106,837                                   | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on  |
| ©Caj | Net profit [①+(o)–(p)]<br>Cap rate  | 106,837<br>4.9%                           | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on  |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct  | 106,837<br>4.9%                           | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on  |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct bitalization method [⑤÷⑥]                        | 106,837<br>4.9%<br>2,180,000<br>2,160,000 | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on transaction of similar real estate.  |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct bitalization method [⑤+⑥] ce from the DCF method | 106,837<br>4.9%<br>2,180,000              | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on transaction of similar real estate.  Appraised in reference to the return on   |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct bitalization method [⑤+⑥] ce from the DCF method | 106,837<br>4.9%<br>2,180,000<br>2,160,000 | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on transaction of similar real estate.  Appraised in reference to the return on transaction of similar real estate, etc., and by  |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct bitalization method [⑤+⑥] ce from the DCF method | 106,837<br>4.9%<br>2,180,000<br>2,160,000 | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on transaction of similar real estate.  Appraised in reference to the return on transaction of similar real estate, etc., and by comprehensively taking into account such   |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct bitalization method [⑤+⑥] ce from the DCF method | 106,837<br>4.9%<br>2,180,000<br>2,160,000 | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on transaction of similar real estate.  Appraised in reference to the return on transaction of similar real estate, etc., and by comprehensively taking into account such factors as the unique qualities of the real |
| ©Cap | Net profit [①+(o)-(p)] Cap rate  pitalized value from the direct bitalization method [⑤+⑥] ce from the DCF method | 106,837<br>4.9%<br>2,180,000<br>2,160,000 | Appraised in view of such factors as the age of the building and the average annual amount of upkeep according to the engineering report.  Appraised by setting the real estate return deemed to have the lowest investment risk as a reference, and adjusting the spread attributed to such factors as the location, age of the building, size and facility level, along with taking into account such factors as future uncertainties and the return on transaction of similar real estate.  Appraised in reference to the return on transaction of similar real estate, etc., and by comprehensively taking into account such   |

|      |                                 |                |           | transaction of similar real estate, etc., and by comprehensively taking into account such factors as the future trends of return on asset management, risks as a subject of investment, general predictions of future economic growth rates, and real estate price and rent trends. |
|------|---------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|
| Prie | Price from the cost approach    |                | 1,980,000 |   |
|      | Sum of land and building prices |                | 1,521,000 |   |
|      |                                 | Land price     | 841,000   |   |
|      |                                 | Building price | 680,000   |   |
|      | Upward price revision           |                | +30%      | In consideration of the marketability as the  |
|      |                                 |                |           | land and building combined of the real estate   |
|      |                                 |                |           | subject to the appraisal.   |

- (Note 1) The above figures are the annual income and expenses assumed based on the capitalization method (direct capitalization method) that are indicated on the real estate appraisal, and are not the forecast figures for the current fiscal period.
- (Note 2) The reason that the value for total income listed above does not match the sum total of itemized income is because the value for individual itemized income is taken from the real estate appraisal and each rounded to the nearest thousand yen. The above total income is the value arrived at when the values for itemized income as indicated in the real estate appraisal are summed up and then that sum total rounded to the nearest thousand yen.

# [Attachment 2] Portfolio Following Acquisition of the Property (based on (planned) acquisition price)

(Unit: thousand yen)

| Asset             |                          |                   |                               |                             |             |
|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| Category (Note 1) | Tokyo central<br>5 wards | Tokyo<br>23 wards | Tokyo<br>metropolitan<br>area | Major<br>regional<br>cities | Total       |
| Core 1            | 79,866,104               | 68,047,831        | 5,862,000                     | 23,230,650                  | 177,006,586 |
| Core 2            | 37,415,400               | 9,298,000         | 1,950,000                     | 7,795,900                   | 56,459,300  |
| Sub-core          | 5,932,000                | 7,201,600         | 4,074,600                     | 4,978,900                   | 22,187,100  |
| Total             | 123,213,504              | 84,547,431        | 11,886,600                    | 36,005,450                  | 255,652,986 |

#### (Note 1) Asset Category (Core / Sub-core)

Core assets are properties that can provide a particularly favorable residential environment from the perspectives of convenience, surrounding environment, management conditions, state of upkeep, facility specifications, etc. that is sustainable over the long term. While exhibiting competitiveness equivalent to core assets, sub-core assets are properties that are relatively superior in terms of profitability and liquidity and are especially properties from which stable rental income can be anticipated over the medium and long term. Of core assets, properties bearing the "Pacific" brand are classified as "Core 1" while all other properties are classified as "Core 2."

(Note 2) The Tokyo central 5 wards refer to the Minato, Shibuya, Shinjuku, Meguro and Chiyoda Wards. The Tokyo 23 wards refer to the remaining wards other than the Tokyo central 5 wards. The Tokyo metropolitan area refers to the Tokyo (excluding the Tokyo 23 wards), Kanagawa, Saitama and Chiba Prefectures. Major regional cities refer to areas that are within commuting distance of a central area of a government-designated city or other areas where an increased demand for rental housing, etc. is anticipated.

(Note 3) The above figures are each rounded down to the nearest specified unit.

[Attachment 3] Outside View of the Property



This English language notice is a translation of the Japanese language notice dated August 10, 2007 and was prepared solely for the convenience of, and reference by, overseas investors. NRIC makes no warranties as to its accuracy or completeness.